01-28-09

mage

PTO/SB/21

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	10/000,236		
Filing Date	November 30, 2001		
First Named Inventor	Smithies, Alan		
Group Art Unit	1724		
Examiner Name	Lawrence, Frank		
Attorney Docket Number	200103GP		

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form		App	Assignment Papers (for an lication)		After Allowance Communication to Group		
Fee Attached		Drawing(s)			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Reply			Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final			Petition		Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration	on(s)		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request			Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	\boxtimes	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below); return postcard		
Express Abandonment Request			Terminal Disclaimer		•		
Information Disclosure Statement			Request for Refund				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)			CD, Number of CD(s)				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		Ren	narks:				
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53							
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Signature %	Uh	be.	L.				
Date Jany 27, zect							
CERTIFICATE OF EVERESS MAILING							
CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as Express Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this date: January 27, 2004 Express Mail Label No.: EV390576980US							
Typed or printed name	Typed or printed name Beth Hookway						
Signature Beth Hadway Date 1-211-00				1-27-04			

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of: SMITHIES, ALAN

Examiner: LAWRENCE, Frank

Serial No.: 10/000,236 : Group Art Unit: 1724

Filed: November 30, 2001 : Attorney Docket No.: 15880-10003

For: HIGH TEMPERATURE POLYMER : Customer No.: 27520

: Confirmation No.: 9250

Interview Summary: January 12, 2004

FILTRATION MEDIUM

REPLY TO SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW WITH EXAMINER ON DECEMBER 18, 2003

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a formal statement regarding the record of the substance of the Interview dated December 18, 2003 in response to the Interview Summary dated January 12, 2004. The listed items for a complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview under 37 U.S.C. Section 1.133 are provided below:

- 1) There was no exhibit or demonstration that was conducted;
- 2) The claims discussed were Claim 1 and Claim 9;
- 3) The prior art discussed was European Patent Application No. EP 0 726 348;
- 4) There were no proposed amendments to the claims discussed with the exception that the Examiner proposed that the addition of another limitation, not found in EP 0 726 348, would overcome the rejection as indicated in the Interview Summary dated January 12, 2004;

Application No. 10/000,236

film forming material to a fabric;

Reply to Interview Summary dated January 12, 2004

Inventor(s) Name: Alan Smithies

Attorney Docket No.: 15880-10003

5) The principal argument is that EP 0 726 348 specifically stated that both a fluoropolymer and a rigidizing film forming material should both be applied to the fabric since the use of a rigidizing film form material without the fluoropolymer will result in a "...decrease in tongue tear strength..." (Page 4, Line 43-45). It is the Examiner's position that a disclosure teaching an individual with ordinary skill in the art away from applying a rigidizing film forming material to a fabric, without a fluoropolymer, is still a disclosure of the application of a rigidizing

6) There were no other pertinent matters discussed; and

7) The outcome of the Interview is that no agreement was reached on the pending claims

with the recommendation by the Examiner for the Applicant to file an RCE with an additional

limitation that is not disclosed in European Patent Application No. EP 0 726 348. Moreover, as

indicated in the Interview Summary dated January 12, 2003, European Patent Application No.

EP 0 726 348 would not likely be used in a 35 U.S.C. Section 103 combination rejection due to

the true "teaching away" situation created by European Patent Application No. EP 0 726 348.

STLD01-1055800-1

2

Application No. 10/000,236

Reply to Interview Summary dated January 12, 2004

Inventor(s) Name: Alan Smithies Attorney Docket No.: 15880-10003

If any issue regarding this Interview Summary or if the Examiner should have any questions regarding this Interview Summary, it is respectfully requested that Examiner, please telephone the Applicant's undersigned attorney in this regard.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 27 2004

Kevin M. Kercher Reg. No. 33,408 Blackwell Sanders Peper Martin LLP

720 Olive Street, 24th Floor St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 345-6000

un bo

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT